Strahlman, Dr. L. 1-2-45
411 Medico Dental Bldg.
San Diego, 1. Calif.

"Isn't it queer . . . . that God still rules supreme ? !"

* * * *

"I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the office of President of the United States, and will, to the best of my ability, preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States".

VOLUME XIV. No. 23. SAN DIEGO (5) CALIFORNIA MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1944 $2.50 PER YEAR—SINGLE COPY 5 CENTS

Who Said They Wouldn't Strike ?

Municipal Employees Jeopardized Los Angeles

LOS ANGELES— Striking employes of the municipal department of power and light returned to their jobs after the army, on order of President Roosevelt, took over management of the system.

Gathered in a mass meeting, the strikers voted quickly to end their walkout after hearing read an order of Col. Rufus W. Putnam, of the army engineers.

Col. Putnam conferred with Mayor Fletcher Bowron, to take over the city's power and water system and put an end to the nine-days strike that had caused a shut-down of about 160 war feeder plants and thrown some 125,000 homes and business establishments into darkness.

Under-Secy. of War Patterson and Secy. of the Navy Knox, issued a joint statement declaring that the president's order directing the war department to take over the power system was necessitated by a strike that has crippled vital war production and damaged civilian morale.

'Few Workers Responsible'

The workers responsible for the strike, said the statement, are few in number, but their action has disrupted service to a number of plants engaged in production for the army and navy, jeopardizing plans of the two services for future offensive operations.

Editors Note: Who said city employees would never endanger the safety of their city by going out on strike? Once they join a union the city is their boss no longer.

Read This And Weep

THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S RECORD

(From the Chicago Daily Tribune)

On the evidence that has been made available to date the public generally has absolved the state department of any serious share of the responsibility for the Pearl Harbor disaster. Mr. Hull has reiterated that, not on one but on several occasions, he warned his fellow cabinet members of the war and navy departments of the increasing stresses on our relations with Japan and of the probability that hostilities would result. The Roberts report showed that far from taking every precaution against surprise in Hawaii, the two senile secretaries of war and the navy contented themselves with half measures and orders that restricted the commanders on the spot.

Lt. Col. Dyess' stories now being printed by The Tribune and associated newspapers, show the pitiful state of our defenses in the Philippines. War did not come unexpectedly to the military leaders in those islands. They felt certain that they would be attacked and assumed, in fact that the first attack would be against them and not a Pearl Harbor, but they frankly told their flyers two days before the fighting started that they had little more than a forlorn hope. The military leaders did not have the planes or the arms with which to resist the forces that they knew the Japanese could bring to bear the moment hostilities opened.

This lack of defense in the Philippines was due to no deficiency in the production of armament in the United States. We were turning out the guns and planes. Between March 11, 1941, when lend-lease was started, and Nov. 30, a week before Pearl Harbor, the United States shipped more than 586 million dollars' worth of lend-lease supplies to Britain, Africa, China, Australia, New Zealand and Russia. We gave to our lend-lease clients 173 million dollars worth of equipment that was actually in use or had been ordered by the army.

Gen. MacArthur had 35 heavy bombers and 72 pursuit planes when he was attacked. Most of them were lost in the initial attack because of failure to provide sufficient dispersal fields in the Philippines. Of the planes that he had left, many were taken from his own ill-equipped forces and sent to Gen. Wavell for the defense of British and Dutch Pacific possessions.

A high air officer has estimated that the Japanese attack in the Philippines could have been repulsed by an air force of 50 Flying Fortresses, 250 attack planes, and 500 pursuit ships. In 1941 the United States produced 19,500 planes. Fewer than 30 of them reached the Philippines. We sent 2,400 American planes to Great Britain in the lend-lease period before Pearl Harbor. Only 100 were lend-lease shipments but an unstated number of others were planes that had been ordered by the army and were then diverted to the British.

That is Mr. Roosevelt's record of preparedness. He stripped and neglected our own army in order help other nations. It is a record for which our state department shares responsibility. Unless the state department can show that it was deceived as to the state of the Philippines, its conduct toward Japan in the negotations that culminated in war was the most monumental blunder in diplomatic history.

The first rule of diplomacy is that representatives are always limited by the force that can be brought to bear in the area under discussion. We invited the Japanese attack by our note of Nov. 26, 1941, which was a virtual ultimatum. It was a criminal offense against the men whom we later abandoned to the Japanese torturers of Bataan to have delivered that note without giving our army in the Philippines the means of defending itself. The state department, for its conduct in these negotiations, must take a share of the responsibility on a par with the stupidity of the war and navy departments and of the commander in chief who dictated the policy of all three.

FEDERAL CITY & LINCOLN

EMANCIPATOR STOOD FOR "WHITE SUPREMACY"

By WASHINGTON ADAMS

WASHINGTON, Feb. 15— If Lincoln were alive today, it is believed he would strongly support the position of The Broom and the Southerners on the "color question" in America. His views on the subject are, historically, beyond dispute, down in black and white.

The following are quotations of the words of Lincoln and his senatorial opponent, Stephen A. Douglas, in a debate on August 21, 1858, in Ottawa, Illinois.

Douglas spoke first and, among other things, said:

"I am opposed to Negro citizenship in any and every form. I believe this government was made on the white basis. I believe it was made by white men for the benefit of white men and their posterity forever; and I am in favor of confining citizenship to white men, men of European birth and descent, instead of conferring it upon Negroes, Indians and other inferior races."

Lincoln in his reply spoke as follows:

"I have no purpose to introduce political and social equality between the white and black races. There is a physical difference between the two, which, in my judgment, will probably forever forbid their living together upon the footing of perfect equality; and inasmuch as it becomes a necessity that there must be a difference, I am in favor of the race to which I belong having the superior position."

These quotations may be found in almost any large Lincoln books, and they are reproduced in Mencken's great encyclopedia of "Quotations".

Five years after making the Ottawa speech, Lincoln, then President and having freed the slaves as a military measure, received a delegation of ex-slaves in Washington and in the course of his talk to them repeated his observation about the "insurmountable physical difference" between the two races, and suggested the proper solution was to return the **Negroes from America to their old homeland of Africa.**

What a pity that Lincoln had to die at the hands of the mongrel Booth!

(Our Mrs. Eleanor Rosevelt often refers to Lincoln, evidently does not know her Lincolniana!).

* * *

RED-HEADED WOMEN were recently discussed by Arthur Hale of New York's radio station "WOR". He quoted some woman as being unable to name more than six or seven outstanding red-headed women of to-day, among them Greer Garson, Billie Burke, Lucille Ball, Ida Lupino, Clara Bow, Maureen O'Hara and Katheryn Brush. Even at that, as compared with the total of movie stars, the redheads exceed their expected quota.

I would add that in the generation just past redheaded women of genius stood out. Sarah Bernhardt, world's greatest actress of two generations; Mrs. Leslie Carter, America's greatest tragedienne; Mrs. Minnie Maddern Fiske, America's greatest actress; and many others.

Among American Presidents, the great ones were mostly reds, or reddish blonds: George Washington, Thomas Jefferson and Andrew Jackson—three greatest Presidents before Lincoln—all reds. Then there came the great Civil War general and President, U. S. Grant, and finally Calvin Coolidge. Five Presidents out of 31 far exceed their expected quota, as hardly as many as one redhead in a hundred may be found in the present general population, although they were once plentiful in America.

* * *

IS NEW DEAL SOCIALISM DESTROYING THE REPUBLIC ?

Samuel Adams, one of the great men among the Founding Fathers, said the following in reference to the Stamp Act of 1764:

"Let us remember that **'if we suffer tamely a lawles attack upon our liberty, we encourage it, and involve others in our doom.'** It is a very serious consideration, which should deeply impress our minds, that **millions yet unborn may be the miserable sharers in the event."**

Another Founding Father, **Thomas Paine,** wrote: **"He that would make his own liberty must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself."**

It well for us Americans of today to refreshen our spirits from the examples of the past. I am glad to quote from four of the greatest. I now call attention to one of three greatest men which the Anglo-Saxon race has produced **—Benjamin Franklin.**

He was a member of the convention of 1787, which framed our American Constitution. At 81 then, he was said to have the active mind of 25. If the Convention had heeded his advice, we should not have Roosevelt as President today, and it is doubtful if the world would have been twice drenched in blood within a period of 26 years.

He was for a single term of the presidency, against the veto power, for the appointment of all ambassadors and supreme court judges by the senate, against paying a President anything more than his legitimate expenses, etc.

More than any other one man, Franklin expressed the viewpoint of the whole convention regarding the style of government our Founding Fathers hoped to establish—a true government of the people, but also one where **the intelligent minority would have a voice at least equal to the ignorant and dull majority.**

Regarding the retirement of a President from office, he said to the convention:

"It seems to have been imagined by some that the returning to the mass of the people was **degrading to the magistrate.** This he thought was **contrary to republican principles.** In free Governments the executives are the servants, and the people their superiors & sovereigns. For the former therefore to return among the latter was not to **degrade** but to **promote** them — and it would be imposing an unreasonable burden on them, to keep them always in a state of servitude, and not allow them to become again one of the Masters." (Page 434, "Documentary History of the Constitution of the U. S.," Vol. 3).

"The people are superior and sovereign to the magistrates or executives." How this basic republican idea needs to be drummed into the dull ears of the New Deal worshippers, who consider themselves as subjects and regard their Master FDR as a sort of god !

But Benjamin Franklin looked ahead and saw a vision of our republic that was not good. He wrote a letter to the Convention at its close, in which were these words:

"In these sentiments, Sir, I agree to this Constitution, with all its faults, if there are such; because I think a general government necessary for us; and **there is no form of government but what may be a blessing to the people if well administered, and believe farther that this is likely to be well administered for a course of years, and can only end in Despotism, as other forms have done before it, when the people shall become so corrupted as to need despotic government, being incapable of any other."** (Page 762, supra).

———B———

THE YOUNG COMMUNIST LEAGUE is now operating under the name "American Youth for Democracy", has staged a nation-wide propaganda campaign among service men in 16 major cities.—Journal-American.

———B———

TITO APPOINTS JEWS TO IMPORTANT POSTS

ANKARA— Three Jews were aappointed by Marshal Tito to high posts in the Partisan set-up in Yugoslavia, according to the Nazi-controlled Belgrade radio. The three named are Alexander Nertoni, formerly of Belgrade, who was appointed Supreme Court Judge; Elias Mikolshi, formerly of Zagreb, was appointed financial adviser, and Dr. Adolph Levy was made adviser for foreign affairs.

WORDS OF THE POET BORN 185 YEARS AGO TODAY

NEW DEAL — THE PARTY OF MESSERS HOPKINS, ICKES KNOX, WALLACE, BIDDLE, FRANKFURTER ET AL
4TH TERM
PUBLIC OPINION of THE WASHINGTON CRACKPOTS
"O, wad some Power the giftie gie us to see ourels as ithers see us!"
To a Louse BY ROBERT BURNS.
BORN JAN. 25, 1759
ORR

(Chicago Tribune — New York News)

THE BROOM

"NOT AFRAID TO TELL THE TRUTH"

PUBLISHED EVERY MONDAY

Published at 3865 - 43rd St., San Diego (5) California

Subscription $2.50 per year; $1.30 for 6 months

FRED de ARYAN, Owner

C. LEON de ARYAN, Editor

Declared a legal newspaper of general circulation for the County and City of San Diego, in California, by Superior Court Order, January 15, 1932.

Entered as second class matter March 27, 1931, at the postoffice of San Diego, California, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

A Republican newspaper, standing for a clean sweep of the Augean stables. Fearless fighter for a truthful, just, vigilant and tolerant nationalism. Lover of the Constitution and the Founding Fathers. Defender of free speech and free press, as the foundation stones of the republican form of government. Opponent of injustice, tyranny and oppression, and of all "isms" except Americanism.

What Is Freedom of the Press ?

I can solve my individual problems very easily because all I have to do is find the principle involved and comply with it.

I can solve my problems as an editor by simply digging up the facts to the best of my ability and presenting them publicly, wherever it concerns the common weal.

But when we are facecd with suppression of the truth and the facts, then I alone cannot protect the Freedom of the Press; this becomes a public issue in itself. I have at all times taken you openly into my confidence because I consider this to be the American way. My actions must stand the light of day.

My definition of patriotism is that each individual search his own heart in the presence of his God and consecrate himself to the best service for his fellowmen. If you can improve on this, tell me how? I am not facetious about it, I mean it.

My definition of Freedom of the Press is that we, who are charged with exercising it, watch and report truthfully, with our comments, what is of public concern. The intent behind the guarantee of the Freedom of the Press is to **give you the opportunity to think and decide.** You are the final judge. You regulate the value and existence of a publication by your patronage or by withdrawing your patronage.

Recently the U. S. Department of Justice indicted Charles Chaplin and a number of others, even a judge, acting in his official capacity, for conspiracy to deprive a young woman of her constitutional rights.

Does an editor have constitutional rights? Did the New York Times not win a suit against those who boycotted it? And did the New York merchants not pay for the damage?

In the first place, I have never advocated disobedience to duly constituted and constitutionally legal processes of conducting the government. On the contrary. Second, I am utterly opposed to religious or any other dictatorship over a man's conscience. I don't presume to be his God, or a second Jesus Christ. I know too well how foolish we mortals are. For this reason I would never interfer with a man's concept of his duty, not even in war time. This is what it means to exercise God-given rights. Everybody must be free to exercise them. The results inevitably teach us where we did right and where we did wrong and none of us is good enough to play God and interfer.

Freedom of the Press means that we MAY THINK TOGETHER and reason together. Accepted principles of good government, of religion, can be discussed openly. That insures clear thinking and a proper foundation upon which to stand when making far reaching decisions. This is why the Bill of Rights insures the Freedom of the Press.

THE BROOM is not a publication which nonthinking people enjoy. It taxes your attention and your gray matter. It is not a "popular" paper by any means, otherwise it would have a million subscribers in place of one thousand. Playing up to the galleries is one thing, and sticking to academic reasoning is another.

THE BROOM is a publication enjoyed by those who love to think things through. Therefore I am always open to instruction.

Lately I was charged by the best friend I have, namely Marion Fay of this city, that even though I mean well and try to be scrupulous, the language I am using tends to incite to anti-Semitism and therefore she advised me to stop printing THE BROOM and return to civil engineering.

Miss Fay means well, but she does not realize that no man worth his salt, is a defeatist. If the language I employ defeats the very purpose of a free press, then, pray teach me where I am wrong and where I should improve. Killing the press does not produce a better press. I am the last person on earth who wants to incite to race and religious hatred. But I am also the last person who gives up his chosen work because of faults in the details of execution. Miss Fay, if she loves the truth, should use her superior knowledge to show me how to use the English language correctly. Since she prefers to criticize without accepting the responsibility of helping to do better, I am doing the best I can under the circumstances.

I hold that the duty of an editor is to, first of all, be loyal to the princciple of a free press. A dead press does not serve the people, even though a lovely lady demands "John's head on a platter". It takes immense courage and patience, study and consideration to steer between antagonistic claims of warring factions and do them justice. But that is exactly the purpose of the press.

I maintain that if all Jews in the U. S. A. followed the example set by the congregation Beth Israel, of Houston, Texas, no Jewish problem would be left to worry about in the U. S. A. Those 612 Jews have taken the only step they could possibly take as American citizens: they cut the ties between the church and the state, by giving allegiance to the U. S. A., nationally, and to the moral and religious principles of Mosaic law and revelation, as a churcvh. If that is anti-Semitism then let the Postal Department take steps to curtail our circulation. If that is good Americanism, then let the rest of the Jews agree with us on that point and cease their terrorism which in the end will lead exactly where Chaplin and the N. Y. merchants landed, when they deprived their victims of the exercise of constitutional rights.

When a man is charged with crime he is entitled to a fair trial before a jury of his peers **and his accusers must enter court with clean hands.**

Jesus emphasized this rule by his famous admonition: "Let him who is without sin throw the first stone".

If THE BROOM's circulation is to be interfered with on account of alleged anti-Semitism, then my accusers must enter court with clean hands. Are they nationally Americans or are they opposed to the stand taken by the Congregation of Rabbi H. J. Schachtel, of Houston, Texas, in severing the ties between Jewish church and nation?

If they are representing a nation, a Jewish nation and race, to which they owe allegiance, then why did they take the oath of allegiance to our Constitution?

If they are Americans, then I accept them as my peers.

—B—

"When a woman permits a man to take her on his lap, the belief that he has made some progress in her affections is certainly natural." (Sinclair v. Sinclair, 1898, 57 N. J. Eq. 222)

—in the SAT. EVE. POST.

—B—

de Aryan's Persecutors Exposed

CATHOLIC PAPER CHARGES JEWS WITH ESPIONAGE NETWORK IN U. S.

BROOKLYN, N. Y. —**"The Tablet"**, official diocese weekly newspaper of the Catholic church of this city, and one of the greatest church papers in the nation, carried five columns of sensational matter in its issue of Feb. 12th, as follows:

JEWISH CONGRESS USES ESPIONAGE NETWORK IN U. S.

A nation-wide network of espionage agents and close contact with high ranking officials in Washington enable the American Jewish Congress, with headquarters at 330 West 42nd St., Manhattan, to supply "confidential" information on the activities of non-Jewish individuals and organizations which are not pleasing to the Congress. A recent issue of this "confidential digest of anti-Semitic and anti-democratic press of the United States," a fortnightly publication not intended for the American public, has been received at this office.

About two years ago, THE TABLET exposed the "confidential" instructions sent out by the American Jewish Congress to its local organizations in the matter of enlisting non-Jewish support and of planning a program to defeat measures to provide religious instruction for public school children. Rabbi Stephen S. Wise is head of the Congress.

The spy system, which is not unlike in aim and methods of Himmler's Gestapo, has enabled the American Jewish Congress to secure data which may be used for distribution among leftwing radio commentators and newspapers to blacken reputations of American citizens or may be handed over to official federal investigators. The reports, as published, are not factual documents such as those of authorized and legitimate investigating agencies, but are composed with the obvious attempt to smear.

In order that readers of THE TABLET may be acquainted with the underground spy system operated by the American Jewish Congress in communities in the nation in which it has members, the following excerpts from the issue at hand are reprinted:

"The offensive on the military front is matched with the offensive on the home front. There is still much to be done on both fronts before our mortal enemy is completely crushed.

"The 'Digest' can quote a high ranking official in Washington, D. C., who told us that the present cases are predicated on the fact that a 'National Socialistic conspiracy existed, and that is the reason some 12 persons originally indicted were dropped as far as this particular indictment is concerned.'

"When the 'Digest' called to the attention of this high official the fact that Leon de Aryan, editor of 'The Broom' in San Diego, had opened his pages knowingly to indicted Bund-leader Hermann Schwinn and was not renamed, we were told, 'That makes no difference. We've got to have a 100% National Socialistic conspiracy count against them.'

"The 'Digest' will continue to report the activities of Court Asher, William Kullgren, Leon de Aryan, et al., who were not re-indicted, as well as those who though charged with criminal conspiracy are still carrying on their nefarious activities.

"Attorney-General Francis Biddle has assured **pro-democratic groups** that the efforts of his Department against those propagating defeatism will not be curtailed, but on the contrary, increased. **The 'Digest' can predict** that additional indictments will be voted against individuals engaged in spreading defeatist propaganda.

"It is an open secret in Washington that an important newspaper syndicate is being watched very closely." Etc., Etc.

"The 'Digest' has it **from a reliable source in Washington** that despite the fact that 12 persons were not re-indicted on Jan. 3, their original indictments have not been abandoned. From time to time one person or two might be cleared entirely. But the charges against Leon de Aryan, Court Asher, et al, who are not re-indicted on the present count, are not to be considered dropped until so ordered by the Attorney General's office. Further inquiry into their activities will proceed without interruption."

Editor's Note: When the Catholic **'Tablet'** has to rise to defend me and expose the Gestapo activities of aliens in our midst, it proves how important it is to continue to spread the truth fearlessly. I never "opened the pages of The Broom" to Herman Schwinn or anybody else. This paper has always been frank, fearless and incorruptible. Not even the British government could buy space for propaganda, though they sent a special woman agent from London to vamp me and bribe me. She posed as a vegetarian and a Mazdaznan.

This paper has always been, and is today for America FIRST.

"I did not become a citizen in order to dismember the Republic and relegate the Constitution to the horse and buggy age", if I may paraphrase Churchill.

The New York Public Library has been used as a stooge to get copies of The Broom free of charge. Then I was misquoted and smeared. I stopped sending free copies and told the Librarian why. Now they subscribed through an agency and are paying cash for N. Y. Public Library copy of our paper.

—B—

"We must spread the idea of freedom in a wider sense than the political. There must be freedom of opportunity." Gov. R. E. Baldwin, Conn.

Jewish Congress Attacks Church

SAYS ANTI-SEMITISM IS DUE TO CATHOLIC TEACHINGS ALONE

BROOKLYN— An official publication of the American Jewish Congress, "Congress Weekly", in a recent issue, makes it clear that the organization dominated by Rabbi Wise looks upon the Catholic Church as the object of its hate and enmity. In an editorial, facts, truth and charity are cast aside in what is one of the most intolerant and vicious attacks upon the Catholics of New York City. The attitude which members of the American Jewish Congress may be expected to have toward their Catholic co-workers and fellow Americans is indicated in the editorial which states, in part:

"What has been revealed of the situation in Washintgon Heights discloses a repetition of the so-called 'hoodlumism' now rampant in various sections of the country. To the general picture, however, the Washington Heights revelations add a new note: along with swastikas and pornographic inscriptions on Jewish prayerhouses, two Protestant churches have also been subjected to similar acts of desecration. **This new aspect is revealing, and should be of special significance to those who want to get to the bottom of the situation.**

"A Jewish woman from Brooklyn tells of the case of her nine-year-old boy who was beaten up by Christian youths. When she sought to go into the nearby **parochial school** to identify one of the attackers permission to enter was denied. The influences that encourage these groups of young hoodlums to perform their acts of violence are carefully concealed from the public eye. **No one knows anything beyond the general fact that these youngsters are victims of Christian Front propaganda.** The Washington Heights revelations point to something more specific. The desecration of the Protestant Churches proves that in these cases **the offenders were not children of parents professing the Protestant denomination.** The affidavit of the above-mentioned Brooklyn Jewish woman also points to the offenders as of a denomination other than Protestant. **Neither was Patrolman Drew,** the member of the Christian front whose case Commissioner Valentine has so magnanimously dismissed, **a member of a Protestant congregation.**

"Our position as Jews and as victims prevents us from elaborating any further on these statements. But even as Jews we cannot feign such ignorance as not knowing **in which of the Christian denominations** anti-Semitic teachings are emphasized in large degree."—The Tablet.

—B—

ASCH TO SUE OVER CHRISTIAN HERALD INTERVIEW

NEW YORK— Sholem Asch, Yiddish novelist, author of "The Nazarene" and "The Apostle", announced that he would bring suit against the Jewish Daily Forward, a newspaper with which he was connected for many years, because it has charged, he claims, "that according to an interview in the Christian Herald, which I didn't see before publication, I have accepted the Christian conception of the Holy Trinity, which means I left the faith of Israel and the one living God, and have accepted the Christian faith."

He declared that he advised his "publisher to take the necessary steps to bring this case to court in order to expose, before the whole world" what he describes as a "bloody frameup" against a Jew "who devoted all his life to the Jewish cause". Asch stresses that "in all my writings, in all my interviews, with the most important Christian religious leaders, I have insisted on the principle of Judaism as the only living God of Israel."

INTEREST IS WAGES

Much unwarranted opposition to the payment of interest is current. An illustration credited to the French economist, Bastiat, seems valid and complete. He notes that two carpenters, William and James are of equal skill. James has spent 10 days making a plane with which he can make a plank in one day. A year has 300 work days. At the end of the year the plane is worn out. So, the plane is made in 10 days and 290 planks are made before the plane is useless.

William would borrow this plane, and offers to return a new plane equally as good at the end of the year. So, William would spend 290 days, making 290 planks, and spend the last 10 days making a new plane. But James wants William to return a plank, by way of interest, in adition to the new plane. That, of course, would leave William 289 planks only for his year's work.

Why, then, should William borrow? Simply because by borrowing he gets his planks 10 days sooner. If the advantage of getting the planks 10 days earlier is worth more than the value of one plank, it will "pay" to borrow.

To borrow money is merely to obtain power to get capital, for no one borrows money unless he wants to buy something. So far as bankers are concerned the law is observed, and if there is any wrong in the issuance of money, the fault is with the government, not bankers.

The real difficulty is in the economic conditions existing when the bargain to pay interest is made. Conditions at present make men dependent, and they make venturesome contracts in endeavoring to escape the effects of such conditions. The true remedy is to make economic opportunity equally free to everyone. Then the interest charge will be no more burdensome than payment for service. —John Z. White, in Women's Voice, Chicago.

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT
NOTICE TO CREDITORS
No. 32731

Estate of George Henry Clay, also known as George H. Clay, Deceased.

Notice is hereby given by the undersigned, Chester D. Gunn, as the Administrator of the Above Named Deceased, to the creditors of and all persons having claims against the said deceased, that within six months after the first publication of this notice, they either file them with the necessary vouchers in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, or present them with the necessary vouchers to the said Chester D. Gunn at his place of business to-wit, Room 22, Court House, San Diego, Calif.

Signed, Chester D. Gunn, As Administrator of said estate.

Dated at San Diego this 28th day of January, 1944.

Thomas Whelan, District Attorney and County Counsel.

By Robert E. Jensen, Deputy.

Attorneys for said Administrator.

Dates of Publication, Feb. 7-March 6, 1944.

OLIVES

GIFFORD'S California Olives.

Across the Street — — Across the State

ACE VAN & STORAGE

Long Distance Moving

Van & Fireproof Storage

Phone R-1146 3720 El Cajon Blvd.

VIVISECTION

By George Starr White, M. D.

Vivisection means the cutting up of live animals. Human vivisection means the cutting up of humans for experimental purposes. If you love animals, and I pray you do, you would not want your pet dog, or any other pet, cut up.

Children For Vivisection.

Geo. Starr White

For many years the slogan of the vivisectors in our hospitals and great laboratories has been: "Which is the more important, the life of a guinea pig, or the life of a child?"

They have been saying this to fortify their position of torturing and infecting helpless sentient animals, to gratify their lust for blood and suffering.

Now they have changed their slogan to say: "What is the life of one child in comparison to the lives of thousands of children?"

Only recently a news dispatch came from overseas telling how human babies and older children are being tortured and cut up alive and murdered "in the holy name of science". (or was it WAR?)

It told of the suffering and agony witnessed in the terrible hospital laboratories. I have had private information that the same kind of torture and vivisection is going on in China under the direction of great laboratories, richly endowed with American money and conducted by American Physicians and Surgeons, educated in American colleges!

All vivisection is prompted by a perverted mind, but no telling who, of the hospital doctors and experimenters, have the perverted minds, until some helpless inmate is put under the torturing experimentation.

As no suffering animal can act as one that is not suffering, it goes to prove, on the face of it, that **all Vivisection practice** is for blood lust—torture directed by perverted minds!

There is no doubt that this human torture is being taught in our American medical colleges and hospitals and rich laboratories. Those on the inside are told to keep their mouths shut or they will "get" theirs.

Perverts—Gore Lust of Vivisection—We often read of murderers, and "rippers" and "mutilators", and "scratchers", and "slashers", as well as other veritable monsters circulating among "good society" and even in our public schools and Sunday schools.

I have often been asked how such tendencies can exist in this day and generation. I have made an extended study as to WHY nearly all these terrible traits exhibit themselves in persons under 22 years of age. They are often discovered in persons when in their teens.

When possible I have talked with some of these monsters, so as to understand better just why they are apparently so much different from others with whom they have associated, or been brought up.

In nearly every case I have learned that these creatures-to-be-pitied got their first taste for "warm blood" while cutting up, or dissecting, a live animal in school.

Carried On In Schools.—Many cannot realize that the heathen practice of cutting up live animals "for science" is carried on in many of our public schools, and in practically all of our medical schools.

A rat, a guinea pig, a rabbit, a stray cat, a dog, or some other unfortunate warm-blooded animal, is used to experiment on by students in nearly all public schools and colleges. The practice is so general that it is ignored by those who **should think.**

The cutting up of living animals is vivisection. The practice is carried on by a growing thirst for warm blood. The person shrinks from doing it at first, but soon becomes immune to the horrors of it, and a morbid curiosity is planted and grows into a fiendish desire to cut into humans —children especially.

I have asked scores of those who do "laboratory vivisection" just how they felt while doing it and they have told me that they had a growing desire to cut into a human. These are facts—not fancies.—The Truth-Teller, Battle Creek, michigan.

ELECTRICAL APPLIANCES
HEILBRON ELECTRICAL CO., 866 Sixth Ave., Franklin 7847.

MAZDAZNAN PUBLICATIONS

Health and Breath Book (Photo Illustrations, First Six Lessons)	$ 1.50
Yehoshua, or Life of Christ (Large Edition)	10.00
Bezzari	2.00
Omar Khayyam Rubaiyat	1.25
Systematic Thought	1.00
Mazdaznan Monthly Magazine	2.00

Send 10 cents in silver for a sample copy of the Mazdaznan Monthly Magazine
On California Sales 2½% Sales Tax Must Be Charged
Ruling No. 73, Retail Sales Tax Act
MAZDAZNAN PRESS
1159 South Norton Avenue, Los Angeles, California

The Sun Work Shop

Good THOUGHT

Good WORD — Good DEED

Conscientious Objection to War

Mazdaznan definitely teaches conscientious objection to war. The last paragraph of the Mazdaznan statement reads:

"I must keep within the boundary lines of the dictates of my own conscience and serve my God by **keeping every one of His commandments,** and rather seal the testimony of the Saviour with the loss of my terrestial life than **turn traitor to the Kingdom of God."**

No language can be plainer. The fact that Dr. Hanish, and the Temple clique in Los Angeles, have failed to uphold in practice the Mazdaznan statement of keeping "within the boundary lines of my own conscience, and serve my God", merely proves that they did not dare, and nothing else.

Any Mazdaznan who is a conscientious objector to war may enjoy his religious liberty under the Bill of Rights, and the Congress of the U. S. A. has affirmed his legal right to conscientious objection, provided it be genuine and not cowardice.

The Law of Life: Karma, is inexorable. No cowards wanted! Everybody has to submit to the test. You will either gamble your life on Calvary or on the battlefield. Freedom from Fear alone insures freedom in life! Slaves produce dictators!

—B—

Mary Baker Eddy's "Mind"

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Thanks for interesting letter, and also the Brooms which as yet have NOT arrived. But of course Uncle Sam is not what he used to be. We stand corrected; we did an usually poor job in expressing ourselves when referring to Life and Mind. This is a common error when one launches out in mulitplicity with Life, Mind, Soul, Spirit, etc. If one keeps in **mind** the UNITY of all, error is avoided. Furthermore, different writers have different terms or names for the same thing; for example SOUL. Some use the term synonymously with Mind, others with Spirit. Confusion is bound to result.

You called our attention to the misstatement: "Life is all in the Mind." Life is universal of course; but is not mind universal also? It's a question of interpretation.

Am not so sure anymore that Mary Baker Eddy was all wrong. At one time We were very bigoted on the subject of S. C. But now we think she was in possession of a Divine principle. The growth of her movement attests there must be truth in the teaching. Of course all religious movements have their quota of fanatics and S. C. is no exception. But in near future we intend making an intensive and deep study of Science and Health. You are probably thinking **DEEP** is right.

We possess neither life nor mind. We can only use them. The use or mis-use of them determines whether our individual portion of life is successful, happy or the opposite. Man is a three-dimensional being with a three-way "plug" into life. Physical, mental and spiritual. He appropriates according to his capacity and organization. IS this clear or obscure?

Thanks for writing, we hope Brooms will be along soon.

Sincerely yours, LYDIA HAMMER,
101 Parnassus Ave., San Francisco, Calif.
P. S. What social system will prevail after the war?

* * * *

"WE POSSESS NEITHER LIFE NOR MIND"?

Dear Mrs. Hammer:

Yours of 1-22-44 arrived.

"Convince a woman against her will and she is of the same opinion still."

I learned this lesson a long time ago, starting with my mother, and recently Marion and you prove it again. You'll understand who Marion is when you read the two last issues of THE BROOM which I am hereby enclosing by air mail so that Uncle Sam shall not delay them again.

"We stand corrected; . . . when referring to Life and Mind. This is a common error . . . It's a question of **interpretation.** Am not so sure anymore that Mary Baker Eddy was all wrong . . . . "

You stand corrected, but you are of the same opinion still. That's the handicap when one engages in a discussion with a woman, or with a man who "keeps in **mind** the UNITY of all" meaning Life, Mind, Soul, Spirit, etc.

CHIROPRACTORS
DR. O. R. WAGNER, D. C. 2863 Fifth Ave., Phone Jackson 1439.
PINTLER & IRISH, 1956 Fifth Ave., Phone Franklin 4988.
DR. R. J. McBRIDE, D. C., 411 Fifth Ave. Bldg., Main 1466.

And that's exactly the trouble, this UNITY business. Now if you keep the unity of it all in **mind,** you may pour the water into the gas tank, the gas into the radiator and the oil into the battery, you see, keeping in **mind** the UNITY of the machine, heck . . . try and argue with such people.

"Life is universal of course; but is not mind universal also? It's a question of interpretation."

Is it? Water is universal of course; but is not oil universal also? If it's a question of interpretation then what's the difference, pour the water into the gas tank, etc.

We never denied the fact that Mary Baker Eddy stumbled on to a Divine Principle, only her understanding of it is definitely very limited. By using high falluting terms, and generalizing it out of place, she, like Henry Ford wants to solve the world's problems and "get the boys out of the trenches by Christmas".

If you knew what you are talking about when you say Life, Mind, Soul, Spirit, etc., which is multiplicity to you, and confusing to most, you certainly would make no error in the use of the terms. The mechanic does not call the Battery a water tank, nor the carburetor a grease cup, even though he has in **mind** the UNITY of the whole machine and its specific functions in every part. He KNOWS whereof he speaks, while you "metaphysicians" just speak from hearsay and have no personal acquaintance as the mechanic has with the objects of his terminology.

Mary Baker Eddy is a means of busting up certain types of ossified supersititions which go by the name of religion. As such she has done yeoman's work. And because humanity is in a very low state of evolution, her work takes in a lot of territory as yet. But the growth of her movement does not attest to any other truth, anymore than the spread of the war all over the world attests to any other truth but that people are still stupid. The fire of both of them catches where there is tinder to be burnt out.

"We posses neither life nor mind. We can only use them . . . Is this clear or obscure?"

Clear as mud!

If we don't posses any mind how can we use it? That's a corker! "What social system will prevail after the war?"

Well the same one that always prevailed, the gullible will slave for the slick and the unscrupulous. A rose by another name smells just the same.

Hoping that you take no personal offense at my strong language.

Sincerely yours, C. LEON de ARYAN.

* * * *

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Thanks for papers. You should know better than to argue with a woman. Since our ideas are totally dissimilar let's agree to disagree!

Sincerely, L. Hammer.

* * *

PAUPERS AGREE ON CHARITY

Dear Mrs. Hammer:

Your suggestion of "agree to disagree" typifies intellectual pauperism. Nice, civilized, sweet morons fall back on it. Even though I took the vow of poverty and depend for my sustenance upon the voluntary contributions of my audience, I am not an intellectual pauper, not even a physical pauper, for I merely play the part for a specific purpose.

When convicted of basic ignorance you demand charity by agreeing to disagree. This puts your I. Q. back into Kindergarten after you floated around in the higher spheres of super-intellectualism. In plain language, you prove yourself a fourflusher, you don't have the real goods so you introduce a new rule, when caught, "let's agree to disagree". No, my dear "new dealer", your new rule does not work. You lack the goods, and fourflushers are out. LIFE appoints you a guardian, and because you still have free choice, naturally, you chose your ideal, the President of all fourflushers, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, for your guardian.

You are so typically representative of the "intellectual mind" of America and the world, that it explains why all the world is regimented today. You and "Blondie" are two of a kind. All who know her well, call her a fourflusher, too.

LIFE is terribly rude, Mrs. Hammer! You either master your stuff or a master is appointed for you. Life hammers home to you the fact that it **matters** whether you know or don't know. There is no such rule as "agree to disagree" in mathematics or chemistry. That would be a nice how-do-you-do if nothing were true and "only thinking made it so". That's why you came into **material** existence, to find out that all your foolish thinking **does not make it so. Your thinking must be materially true,** and matter shows up your ignorance when your theories are tested by the practice.

To not merely give you a calling down, I will finish this epistle with some positive information.

The "I" of LIFE, the entity who is the Alpha and Omega, is the divine Atom, **not created,** essence of the essence of the Divine Parent. This divine "I" resides in every heart that beats, it makes no difference whether human or animal.

The consciousness of "I" is divine, eternal, above Zarvan-Akarana, Time—Space—Causation.

The manifestation of LIFE begins when "the Spirit moves". This spiritual activity reflects in your consciousness as "AM". The realization of the "I", that I "AM", this realization is spiritual. The "I" is even deeper or higher, than the Spirit. The vehicle of the Spirit is the heart where "I" manifests and thru which "I" operates. Break a man's spirit and you rule that man. The function of the spirit is WILL. What man willeth in his heart that he is. "I AM" that which I will to be.

The Will expresses itself in Thought. The thought functions thru grey matter. The brain is the instrument of Thought. There are three departments of Thought, also called Mind: intellectual, spiritual, physical. The intellectual is **reason,** the spiritual is **sympathy,** the physical is **force.**

The Soul expresses your sympathy, it is in contact with the central part of your brain, where it registers, but its real vehicle is the spinal column and the nervous system. Thru the sympathetic cord it connects with the Spirit the heart. The Soul is the BRIDGE between the Spirit, the EGO, above the Spirit, and the Mind. When sympathy gives way to callousness, as it does with all who are callous, then the Scriptures describe a "dead, a lost soul". This atrophy of the soul function, when the messages from the Divine Ego, are not transmitted to the MIND and vice-versa, this is described as "selling your soul to the Devil" so you become callous to suffering and serve Mammon. This, for example, is the status of every war-maker. That makes war, hell, possible. Jesus refused to yield to the Devil to lead an army against Rome. That made him a Savior. He saved his soul.

So you see how important it is to know the difference between Mind, Soul, Spirit and the Christ. The Christ is the Son, of the same essence as the Parent, the "I" in every living being, even in the least one of them, and "what you do to them, you do to ME", to God, whose LOVE embraces ALL.

Sincerely, KOSTI.

—B—

Book which Forsees U. S. Revolt To Go To Troops

WASHINGTON— Special pocket edition of "The Native's Return" by Louis Adamic, Yugoslav-American Communist sympathizer in which the author suggests an American leftist revolutio is inevitable, is being prepared for shipment to American servicemen overseas with the blessing of the War Department, it was learned.

Titles of books selected for the armed forces abroad usually are regarded as military secrets, but news that Adamic's work was among the approved volumes came from a left-wing paper, Prosveta, published in Chicago and circulated among the Slovanian population. The foreign-language paper said 225,000 copies of the book were being printed. A War Department spokesman admitted the book was being prepared for distribution to servicemen, but said only 50,000 had been ordered in overseas posts at a cost of 4½ cents a volume to the American Government.

Disclosure of the selection of this book by the Council of Books in Wartime with apparent approval of both War and Navy Departments, was expected to set off an explosion in Congress, especially among those members acquainted with the works of Mr. Adamic.

Congress members were expected to be especially interested in that passage of the book, on page 365, or 356, where Mr. Adamic, after proclaiming his love for America and his conviction that this country will swing to the left says: "I guess my job in the next few years, perhaps for the rest of my life, will be to harp on that idea (revolution) and incidentally to interpret Yugoslavia to America".

The original idea behind the special armed services edition was to provide carefully selected reading matter for fighting men stationed outside the United States. How Adamic's book came to be slipped into the list as a morale builder for the battle-weary men, remains a mystery. —Helen Lombard, in Washington, D. C. Star. Dec. 9, 1943.

—B—

"It is up to the American people to say when they have had enough pushing around by the bureaucrats." —Former Postmaster General James A. Farley.

TAILORS and CLEANERS
GEORGE A. SAUL, Tailor — 1115 Broadway.

UNIVERSAL BOOT SHOPS
W. L. Douglas Shoes
America's Best Known Shoes
946 Fifth Ave. 1154 Fifth Ave.
2917 University Ave. 4314 University Ave.

Do They Have Extra-Territorial Privileges ?

Hon. Wm. Langer, Martin Dies, Hiram Johnson, Washington, D. C.

Dear Sirs:

I am enclosing a number of clippings from THE BROOM containing quotations and reprints of articles in the Jewish press that were published in various cities, but are unanimous in their condemnation of a small group of Jews in Houston, Texas, who have severed whatever allegiance they had to a Jewish Nation and Church, and in its stead do not permit any member of their congregation to vote, unless such member declares his undivided allegiance to the U. S. A. as his nation.

This is the most peculiar incident to have ever come to my attention. I cannot understand what objection any Jew in America could have to first declare that he severed his allegiance to any other nation before voting in his congregation. Are members of Jewish congregations not permitted by "traditional" Judaism to severe allegiance to any other nation and give undivided allegiance to the U. S. A.? If not, why this unanimous exclamation of surprise, to put it very mildly indeed, on the part of the Rabbinate and the Jewish press at this "unprecedented" step of those 612 Jews of Rabbi Schachtel's congregation in Houston?

Has the "precedent" of "traditional" Judaism been that Jews, when taking the oath of allegiance as U. S. A. citizens, that they took it with the understanding of double allegiance, first to a "traditional" Jewish nation and secondarily to the U. S. A.?

I also wish to call your attention to the ridicule the Jewish press is heaping on the 612 for calling themselves "Americans and caucasians!"

I believe the committee on immigration should investigate whether Jews are not admitted as Caucasians or under what designation were they admitted if not caucasian? I understand that no Mongolians have been admitted for citizenship till just recently when the Chinese quota law passed. I also understand that of all non-caucasian groups the Negroes are the only ones admitted. Were Jews admitted as such if they are not caucasian?

The committee which investigates subversiveness and exposes those who take their oath of allegiance with reservation of allegiance to some other nation first, should look into this peculiar phenomenon that 612 Jews are being denounced by their co-religionists for doing the only thing which an American could possibly do!

I have also received confidential information that high pressure is being applied to the Postal Department to stop the BROOM from circulating through the mails because I am quoting the Jewish press and am asking very disconcerting questions about the type of material discovered in their papers.

I believe the committee on Post Office should investigate this situation. Why is it anti-American, or subversive, or "demoralizing the Armed forces in Washington, D. C." or why is it to be considered "disuniting the war effort" to ask why Jews object to being called Americans and caucasians?

Could it be that some secret treaty exists by which "traditional" Judaism enjoys extra-territorial rights which sets them apart from common, or mere Americans?

If there is such a preponderance of mentality which objects to being denied the vote unless they sign a statement of allegiance to the United States of America FIRST, would that explain why so suddenly the existing burocracy in our country has succeeded to disorganize the nation and make scraps of paper of our Constitution?

I wish to know whether freedom of the press upholds a small weekly which is curious enough to examine those who so vociferously persecute its editor and have him jailed by petition, in place of criminal evidence; or does freedom of the press mean that only papers like Hearst's, McCormick's and Patterson's are permitted to speak up and point to the dangers confronting the Republic?

I shall appreciate receiving your reply.

Sincerely, C. LEON de ARYAN.

———B———

Dear de Aryan:

If you can send me still a few copies of the Broom from Jan. 10th, 1944, I will gladly pay for them; in addition please accept my P. O. order which covers the renewal of this weekly paper, as my subscription for one year.

Hoping you enjoy good health and unbroken spirit towards God's unavoidable Laws.

Respectfully yours, — — —

———B———

My dear Mr. de Aryan:

You are a bold and fearless gentleman and I admire the fight you are putting up. I should like to have some of your copies of the Broom, Jan. 10, for instance to show my friends who I think can help with small sums. Am enclosing $1.00 and will send more when I can.

Yours, — — NEW YORK CITY.

U. S. Helps Red Colossus, Says Reynolds

WASHINGTON— Violating the principles for which we went to war, the United States is helping in the creation of a Russian colossus of aggressive power that may overwhelm us, Senator Robert R. Reynolds, chairman of the Senate Military Affairs Committee, declared.

The North Carolina Democrat said that by condoning Russia's demands and intentions the United States has discarded a sacred national creed and is siding with the conquest and partition of small and helpless nations.

Much further delay on the part of this country in calling for an explicit statement of Allied war objectives, and the application of reins to Russia, may find it too late to halt complete Soviet domination of both Europe and Asia, the Senator declared.

SEES STALIN REPLACING HITLER IN POWER

In overthrowing Germany as one territorial conqueror, he went on, the United States is contributing mightily to the setting up of another and greater aggressor and peace disturber, Russia instead of Germany, Stalin in place of Hitler.

"I am still waiting for one good thing in our behalf to result from the lauded and very secret Moscow and Teheran conferences," Senator Reynolds stated.

"Instead, Russia has followed each conference with her boldest statements of annexation intentions toward Poland, Esthonia, Lithuania, Latvia, Finland, Bessarabia and other lands, revealed her plans to control Rumania, Bulgaria, Greece and the whole Near East, disclosed her scheme to advance her political influence across Europe to the English Channel.

"Now we see our State Department virtually demanding that Finland surrender unconditionally to Russia.

"We see nothing of determination being done to check any of Russia's very obvious purposes, and our abhorrence of such purposes was the thing that brought us into position where we had to accept war from Japan and Germany."

RELATIONS BETWEEN JAPS, RUSS FRIENDLY

"Beneath their ostensible animosity over transient matters, nothing arises to deny that relations between Japan and Russia are those of actual friendship and collaboration.

"Chiang Kai-Shek's 1,200,000 nationalist soldiers in south China are divided from the 3,000,000 Chinese Communist soldiers in northwestern China, and all of the generals of the north China forces are Russian-dominated.

"And what is England doing in the face of all this?

"Why, England, our closest ally, has led us in condoning the Russian world plan for the sake of temporary expediency.

"And England has just given another striking example of the state of affairs in the Allied camp by announcing that after Germany is beaten, England's great conscript army of the present will be demobilized, and volunteers alone will be available to help the United States fight Japan.

U. S. RUNNING UP DEBT OF 500 BILLIONS

"Thus stands the United States, pouring out her Treasury to the bottom, rapidly runnning up a national debt of 500 billion dollars, pumping dry her oil wells, stripping her forests, preparing to supply the bulk of the soldier-power for the European invasion, making ready to feed and finance the whole world.

"It is time that we found out where our Allies are going, so that we can know how far we must follow."—Los Angeles Examiner.

———B———

Our dear friend:

This week end I am spending at camp as Everett was not able to get to town. While he is taking care of some of his duties as infirmarian I would like to send you a note of appreciation for the beautiful little poem in the Broom "Rags". Tonight I am making several copies, one for each of our files, one to send Tommy, and a couple for our friends who are so 'scientific' as to believe in vivisection.

The Broom continues to be one of our favorite sources of information, and we are duly grateful for this means of getting accurate information.

Best wishes to you and those fine boys of yours,

Sincerely, — — —

———B———

There is no future without work.—Henry Ford.

———B———

No child is naturally bad. Delinquency is taught by adults.—Judge Paul W. Alexander.

Cigarette, Moth

HOLES Rewoven. $1. up; with this ad. 50c off.

HAYES MENDING

2139 El Cajon Blvd. J. 1145

Old Missions of California

Dear Sir:

Our outstanding purpose at present is the — winning of this war, and a just Peace, but the methods of doing either deviate greatly from the fastest and most efficient method — Union with Christ. And so it is with great regret we see this method weakened and purpose diverted by such articles as your reprint in your February 21 issue — of The New Age article "Old Missions in California".

Whatever of greatness this or any other country has achieved has been thru the practice of the principles of our Christian civilization— which have come to us thru tradition as well as the written word. And no matter what we teach in our schools, without this knowledge, our children remain ignorant and uneducated in the means and method of building character and attaining happines, success and real prosperity. And whatever of culture we can pass on to those who come after us will also come from these maligned missioners. As Pope Pius XII has said, "Tradition and progress integrate each other. Tradition without progress is a contradiction in itself, while progress without tradition is a rash undertaking, a leap into the darkness . . . There is a need for collaborators in the progress toward a sounder, happier future and Pope Pius XII has urged the cultivation of a knowledge of the past, in the study of history, to love sanctified customs, to unchangeable fidelity to enternal principles, and also, as a social function of prime importance, to mingle among and understand the aspirations and ills of the people . . for the diffusion and creation of a Christian social order in the varied fields of social endeavor".

All California Missions are hallowed by the Presence of Christ in them. The First Mass offered in California was offered by the missionaries you malign. (And if there ever was cause for criticism it was not because of their keeping in the Character of Christ but deviation from it, and the mounting cruelty in all the world of all time cannot be compared to that which we inflicted on Christ, the Son of God in His Crucifixion) . . . The Mass — the greatest daily Miracle in the world and the only thing that really matters because it commemorates this Tragedy and perpetuates Christ's Presence in the world, in the greatest single source of energy and strength — Bread — of which we have the Word of Christ, and our finite reason would tell us — "Unless you **EAT** of **This Bread** you shall not have life in you". There are many kinds offered in the world today, in all kinds of fancy packages, but there is but one which contains the whole Truth, the Whole Wheat. This Bread means—Contact with Christ—Union with Him . . in whom nothing is impossible. And being men of free will, we can use our wills to believe and—live or disbelieve and—die. And we have but to look the world around to see the death of country after country because of a lack of this Food.

Moral courage comes from Contact with a Great Leader and Christ is the only Leader Who has ever led man anywhere in his search for happiness, peace, prosperity, progress, the building of character and personality to its highest and happiest possibilities. This Contact Christ made possible thru a substance so simple and so sublime and so essential to all men—everywhere —Bread. He didn't say we would understand It . . how can or why should we . . we accept so many things and use them for our good without understanding them, and yet the greatest good, the most help . . we hesitate. The Mass is the continuation of the Last Supper Table down thru the corridors of time, with a place for each one of us at it and Christ's Personal invitation "Take ye and EAT" — and Confession is the washing of one's spiritual hands and face before we accept that greatest of all privileges and opportunities to — Live — free of fear — free of want, freedom of speech with God, and freedom to Worship Him, where He IS!

That's what we deny our children when the history of the Missions in California is kept from them.

Very sincerely

M. H. COLLINS.

997 Bradford St., Pomona, California

* * * *

"LOVE YE ONE ANOTHER EVEN AS I LOVE YOU"

My dear Reader:

I received your letter concerning the article appearing in THE BROOM of Febr. 21, by Ernest Crutcher, M. D., a 32nd degree Mason, entitled OLD MISSIONS IN CALIFORNIA, and reprinted from Jan. 1944 Masonic Magazine, THE NEW AGE. A appreciate your kindess in writing and expressing your views.

I studied your letter carefully to find one single historic fact which woud challenge the veracity of the essence of the article, namely, "that the priests were brought along by the Spanish soldiers and buccaneers to ward off fabled goblins, demons, winged serpents, and frightful imaginings of a new region. Few of these priests could even read or write, and their principal acquirement was the memorized Latin prayers that they repeated when needed. The degraded servitude that was imposed upon the Indians is carefully ignored, and books by early historians that told of these acts have been sought out and destroyed".

This above quotation from Dr. Crutcher's article contains the substance of his charge against the missionaries. Your letter makes no effort to refute by historic facts even an iota of that charge; what you do is resort to the catechism of your church. But Dr. Crutcher never took issue with your catechism. Thus you are speaking of one thing and he of another..

Since you have been kind enough to favor me with your beliefs and expect me to make some show of repentance for "weakening the method and purpose, the fastest and most efficient method—Union with Christ" of winning this War, and a just Peace, I necessarily, now, must point out to you the weakness and absurdities of your claims, and I hope you take it in the same charitable spirit in which I perused your letter.

If the Padres, "whom I malign", offered in California the First Mass, and if the Whole Wheat Bread, not offered in a fancy package by the Padres, was the "one which contains the whole Truth—Contact with Christ—Union with Him . . in whom nothing is impossible. And we have but to look the world around to see the death of country after country because of a lack of this Food". . . . will you please explain why those Spanish soldiers and bucaneers who received the sacrament, in the genuine package, failed to make the contact with the Christ and continued to murder the natives and treat them "more severe than the treatment of the Negroes as charged against the people of the Old South", in the foot note words of the Editor of THE NEW AGE?

If eating this genuine Whole Wheat package brings the Contact with a Great Leader and Christ, who did not say we would understand It . . . . and Confession is the washing of one's spiritual hands and face before we accept that greatest of all privileges" . . . Why did those bucaneers not keep their hands clean once they went to confession and ate of the BREAD of LIFE, but again, and again, and again dipped their hands into the blood of their Indian victims?

If eating that genuine Whole Wheat package, without fancy wrappers, after confession and at holy mass brings every communicant into Union with Christ, why are these millions of communicants still killing each other?

Are they not facing each other at present in Italy? German Catholics shooting French Catholics, and American Catholics dropping bombs on all of them in their native countries.

My dear and pious friend. I would advise you to leave the Christ out of the war, His Kingdom is not of this bloody world and all who go to confession and eat a carload of whole wheat packages and confess till the cows come home will never enter the Kingdom of God till they OBEY HIS COMMANDMENTS and love one another, even as He loves us.

Most sincerely, yours

C. LEON de ARYYAN.

———B———

Reprisal. Edwin Taylor, Belleville, Mo., beat up three soldiers last week when they taunted him with cries of "draft dodger" and "slacker". Taylor, a husky, 180-pound roofer, has been classified 4-F as a result of poor vision. "I've been taking that kind of guff from soldiers too long," he explained.—The Progressive.

———B———

Dear Mr. de Aryan,

Enlosed is $5.00 for the Broom. Please extend our subscription for one year and send the Broom for one year to our friend — — —

Sincerely, — — —

Smith & Haight

OLDSMOBILE DEALERS

Better Used Cars

1521 Broadway -:- San Diego

Boondoggling on a Global Basis

The **Reader's Digest** of August 1943, had review excerpts from "Men in Motion" by Henry J. Taylor. It exposes the waste and folly of boondogling on a global basis. No good is coming out of it except **ill will against the U. S. A. and added billions from** Taxpayers' contributions.

The sum and substance is that Americans spend money by the barrel while the natives have no means of living up to such a standard. It results in envy and dissatisfaction.

Read where your tax money is going and what you get in return. For reprints write to: **Reprint Department, The Reader's Digest, Pleansantville, N. Y.**

10 reprints 15c postpaid.

(Paid Advertisement)